Informal Joint Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Title of Report:	Balanced Scorecard and Quarter 1 Performance report 2017-2018		
Report No:	PAS/SE/17/015		
Report to and dates:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	27 July 2017	
Portfolio holder:	Ian Houlder Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01284 810074 Email: ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk		
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Assistant Director (Resources and Performance) Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	Scorecards being use performance for 20	the West Suffolk Balanced ed to measure the Council's 17-18 and an overview of those indicators for the first	

Recommendation	: Perforr	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee:			
	perform Quarte informa where	Members are requested to review the Council's performance using Balanced Scorecards for Quarter 1, 2017-2018 and identify any further information required or make recommendations where remedial action or attention is required to address the Council's performance.			
Key Decision:		Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which			
(Check the appropriate		definition?			
box and delete all those	=	Yes, it is a Key Decision - □			
that <u>do not</u> apply.)	NO, IC IS	No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠			
Consultation:	,	con	s report has been prepared in sultation with all relevant staff and dership Team.		
Alternative option(s):		The option of doing nothing may result in poor performance, monitoring performance can highlight where remedial action may be needed			
Implications:					
Are there any financial implications?		Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give details			 While there are no direct financial or budget implications arising from this report, it is possible that any recommendations of the Committee may have some resource implications. For example, resources may need to be reallocated to improve performance in a future period. 		
Are there any staffing implications?			Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give of			•		
Are there any ICT implications? If			Yes □ No ⊠		
yes, please give details			Yes □ No ⊠		
Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details			 There are no legal implications from this report. Poor performance levels may impact on the Council's ability to implement its policies or high-level strategies. 		
Are there any equality implications?		Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give details			•		
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)			
Risk area	Inherent le risk (before controls)		Controls	Residual risk (after controls)	
	Low/Medium/	High*		Low/Medium/ High*	

Failure to achieve optimum or target performance which may impact on resources Ward(s) affected:	Regular reporting of performance to Joint Leadership Team, Portfolio Holders and to PASC can highlight where remedial action may be needed. All Ward	
Background papers: (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)	PASC May 2017 - Balanced Scorecards Targets 2017-18	
Documents attached:	Appendix A – Resources and Performance Balanced Scorecard Appendix B – Families and Communities Balanced Scorecard Appendix C – Human Resources, Legal and Democratic Balanced Scorecard Appendix D – Planning & Regulatory Balanced Scorecard Appendix E – Operations Balanced Scorecard	

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

1.1 Performance Measures

- 1.1.1 Attached at **Appendices A** to **E** are the current Balanced Scorecards (based on Assistant Director area) which present Quarter 1 2017/18 performance. Unless otherwise stated, all performance figures on the scorecards are from a West Suffolk perspective. Where the performance for either individual Council is significantly different from the West Suffolk figure that it would have a different Red-Amber-Green (RAG) rating, details of this are highlighted in the comments box.
- 1.1.2 The information included in the report has been provided by Assistant Directors and service managers. Most indicators report performance against an agreed target using a traffic light system with additional commentary provided for performance indicators below optimum performance. Other KPIs report a data value only (e.g. no target performance) in order to track performance over time.

1.2 **Quarter 1 Performance**

- 1.2.1 New indicators have been added for the 2017/18 Financial year due to the change in organisational structure and a review process at the end of the previous financial year.
- 1.2.2 Within Resources and Performance the "% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days" corporate indicator across both councils shows we have achieved positive results in the first quarter, reporting 94.30% of invoices paid within 30 days. The finance and performance team will continue to work with service areas to try and improve performance against this indicator to achieve the 95% target, with monthly business intelligence reports being sent out with details of all invoices processed.
- 1.2.3 A Balanced Scorecard for the Growth service area is currently under review and will be available for Quarter 2 reporting 2017/18.